

Huntington Power Plant

6 miles west of Huntington, Utah on Hwy. 31 P.O. Box 680 Huntington, Utah 84528

October 6, 2016

Mr. Bryce Bird, Director Utah Department of Environmental Quality Division of Air Quality 195 North 1950 West P.O. Box 144820 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4820

RE:

Updated Notification of Compliance Status 40 CFR 63 SubPart UUUUU – Unit 1, Huntington Power Plant (Title V Permit #1501001004)

Dear Mr. Bird:

Huntington Power Plant's Title V Permit Condition II.B.2.g.3 requires the Huntington Plant submit a Notification of Compliance Status according to the requirements of 40 CFR §63.9(h)(2)(ii); and, must contain all the information specified in 40 CFR §63.10030(e)(1) through (8), as applicable. Huntington Unit 1 recently received confirmation of the Low Emitting Electrical Generating Unit (LEE) status for mercury. Therefore, this submittal is intended to update the original notification with this new Mercury LEE information and satisfy this requirement.

I am authorized to make this submission on behalf of the owners and operators of the affected source or affected units for which the submission is made. I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined, and am familiar with, the statements and information submitted in this document and all its attachments. Based on my inquiry of those individuals with primary responsibility for obtaining the information, I certify that the statements and information are to the best of my knowledge and belief true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false statements and information, or omitting statements and information, including the possibility of fine or imprisonment.

Should you have any questions regarding this information, please contact Richard Neilson, Huntington Power Plant Environmental Engineer at (435) 687-4334 or me at (435) 687-4211.

Sincerely,

Darrell-Cunningham

Managing Director Huntington Rlant

Responsible Official

Enclosure: Updated Notification of Compliance Status – Unit 1

cc: David Barnhisel, w/enclosure

Steve Jensen, w/enclosure Director, EPA Region VIII

Huntington Unit 1 Mercury and Air Toxics Standard 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart UUUUU Updated Notification of Compliance Status

Description of Affected Source 63.10030(e)(1)

Source Boiler Unit #1	Emission Unit ID				
Electric Utility Steam Generating Unit, bottom tangentially-fired, Babcock & Wilcox	Emission Unit Name (design and manufacturer name)				
Coal-fired unit not low rank virgin coal	Subcategory				
4,960 MMBtu/hr	Size: Rated Heat Input Capacity (mmBtu/hr)				
Pulse Jet Fabric Filter (baghouse) Wet Flue Gas Desulfurization (wet scrubber) LowNOx burner technology, w/ Separated overfire air	Description of add-on controls				
Bituminous Coal, #2 Fuel Oil	Fuels Used				
No o	Were the fuel(s) determined by PacifiCorp or EPA through a petition process to be a non-waste under 40 CFR 241.3				
No	Were the fuel(s) were processed from discarded non-hazardous secondary materials within the meaning of 40 CFR 241.3				
Bituminous coal is the primary fuel for the Unit and was burned during the performance tests. #2 Fuel Oil is used for startup fuel, when needed for start-up.	Justification for the selection of fuel(s) burned during performance testing				

Performance Test Summary 63.10030(e)(2)

Parameter	Performance	Testing	Test Runs/ Duration	Results of Performance	Emission Limit	Calculation formula	Sample Calculation
Mercury (Hg)	August 11 2016	Stack Testing	Live And tran	0.01 lb/4045	1 2 11 /27	3	307 40.4000. 14 0.400
(1-0)	through September 13,	using EPA Method 30B	test runs @ 144 hours	0.01 lb/LBtll	LEE limit 0.12 lb/tBtu	Ed = Cd * Fc * (1 - Bws) * 100 * 10^6 (Eq.19-9) %CO2vw	$\begin{array}{c} \frac{10.6}{10.6} \\ = 0.01 \text{ lb/tBtu} \end{array}$
		and the control of th	Cach			E=Average Hg Emission Rate ID-TBtu Cd = Average Hg Concentration from all traps Ib/dscf Fe =Fuel Factor dscfmmBtu Bws =Stack Gas Moisture Content (default) %1/00 %COZAW = Average Stack Gas CO2 Concentration (wet volume percent)	
				0.5 lbs/year	Or Less than 29 lbs per year	$HImax \\ = \frac{MHI * 8,760}{1,000,000 \text{ mm/T}}$	5,563 * 8,760 1,000,000 = 46.1 TBtu/yr
					9	Eyr= E * HImax E=Average Hg Emission Rate	0.0118 * 46 =0.5 lbs/yr
						Himar = Maximum Annual Heat Himar = Maximum Annual Heat Input of the Unit TBtu/yr MH = Maximun Heat Input of the Unit mmBtu/br Eyr = Average Hg Emission Rate lb/yr	
Filterable Particulate Matter (PM)	May 12, 2015	Stack Test using EPA Reference	3 runs @ 2 hours per run	0.010 lb/mmBtu	0.030 lb/mmBtu	E lb/mmBtu $= \frac{\text{Cs x Fc x 100}}{\text{C020cyd}}$	7.71E-07 x 1800 x100 (12.4)
		Methods 1, 2, 3B, 4, 5, 19				CO2%vd	= 0.011 lb/mmBtu (Sample Calculation of Run 1)
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	April 16, 2015 through May 15, 2015		30 boiler operating day rolling	0.084 lb/mmBtu	0.20 lb/mmBtu	$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} Heri$ $(Eq. 8)$ Heri is the hourly emissions rate	60.205 719
No fuel analyses were required and no operating limits were established	required and no one	rating limits were	average			for hour i and n is the number of hourly emissions rate values collected over 30 boiler operating days.	= 0.084 lb/mmBtu

Identification of Compliance Demonstration 63.10030(e)(3)

Pollutant	Method of Demonstration
Mercury	LEE Annual Method 30B 30 day Test
Filterable Particulate Matter	Quarterly Stack Tests using EPA Reference Methods 1 2 3R 4 5 10
C::16::- D:::1	0
Sultur Dioxide	SO ₂ CEMS

Emissions Averaging 63.10030(e)(4)

Emissions averaging will not be used to demonstrate compliance with applicable emission limits

Work Practice Standards 63.10030(e)(5)

				Julie 1, 2016	Subsequent Tune Op	Subsection Time II	1 corum y 0, 2015	February 6 2015	Initial Time-I'm	Date Boiler Tune Up was Conducted
adjustments)	calibration checks and required zero and span	quality control activities including, as applicable,	required monitoring system quality assurance or	monitoring system out-of-control periods, and	associated with monitoring system malfunctions or	monitoring system out-of-control periods, repairs	except during monitoring system malfunctions or	CMS in service during all phases of operation		CMS in service during starting
								#2 Fuel Oil Used for Startup Fuel	Cican I not Osca Daring Statiah	Clean Final I lead Divine Starting

Deviations 63.10030(e)(6)

No deviations occurred from any emission limit or work practice standard.

Additional Information 63.10030(e)(7)

No annual performance tests were conducted.

Identification of Startup Definition 63.10030(e)(8)

The affected source will rely on paragraph (1) for the definition of startup.

Certification Statements 63.10030(e)(5) and 63.10030(e)(7)(ii)

I certify that all applicable emissions limits and work practice standards were met. This EGU complies with the requirements in §63.10021(a) to demonstrate continuous compliance. No secondary materials that are solid waste were combusted in any affected

Darrell J. Chamingham

Plant Managing Director and Responsible Official